## Survey Results

### Legend

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question text</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right pole</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left pole</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Scale

- 5: Very Poor
- 4: Poor
- 3: Average
- 2: Good
- 1: Excellent

### 1. COMP6212 Core Questions - Core

#### 1.1) Please rate the overall quality of this module.

- **Excellent**: 55.6%
- **Average**: 38.9%
- **Poor**: 5.6%
- **Very Poor**: 0%

#### 1.2) I feel that the module was intellectually stimulating.

- **Strongly Agree**: 44.4%
- **Agree**: 50%
- **Neutral**: 0%
- **Disagree**: 5.6%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

### 2. COMP6212 Mahesan Niranjan - Lecturer

#### 2.1) I feel that this module lecturer did a very good job overall.

- **Strongly Agree**: 64.7%
- **Agree**: 23.5%
- **Neutral**: 11.8%
- **Disagree**: 0%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

### 3. COMP6212 Assessment -

#### 3.1) I received assessment feedback by the deadlines given.

- **Strongly Agree**: 61.1%
- **Agree**: 16.7%
- **Neutral**: 16.7%
- **Disagree**: 5.6%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%

#### 3.2) I was provided with clear marking criteria and the assessment feedback was useful.

- **Strongly Agree**: 44.4%
- **Agree**: 33.3%
- **Neutral**: 16.7%
- **Disagree**: 5.6%
- **Strongly Disagree**: 0%
1. COMP6212 Core Questions - Core

Please use the space below to add any additional comments you may have, including any commendations you wish to make about the module delivery. In particular we would like to hear about what went well this year and what you would like us to improve for next year.

- Excellent module overall. I understand this year's lectures were slightly delayed due to external circumstances, but it might be better in future years to pace the beginning sections about sparse index tracking slightly faster and spend another lecture on Kalman filtering, which was a more complex topic.

- Great module taught by an even greater lecturer. Just wasn't as interesting and exciting enough for me as I thought it would be though but that's only because I have now realised that I have no interest in going into the finance sector.

- I learnt a lot during this module, I would like the lab time to be slightly more structured however (more tutorial style perhaps?) in order to make sure I was fully aware of the usefulness of what I was learning in preparation for the coursework.

- Just great. But during computer labs the TAs are not useful for all the enquiries, maybe with additional training about coursework to improve their feedback.

- Niranjan is great, however he moves really quickly and most his content is written on the board faster than we can copy it. Recording his lectures would really help us keep up afterwards! In order to ensure that students who don't attend don't rely on the recorded lectures, a register could be taken and only students who attended would be allowed to view it online (only), no download - as that would allow students to redistribute which would nullify the point of having a register.

- Said we would get extra sessions if we didn't do machine learning, e.g. 28th April, but the lecturer never put these on.

- The lectures were very well taught, but I felt like most of the lectures were just explaining the coursework, and it would have been nice to have a little more content besides the assigned work.
3.3) Please use the space below to add any additional comments you may have, including any comments on the quantity of assessment and any commendations you wish to make about how your assessment was handled. If you disagree with any of the statements above we would appreciate hearing from you any specific reasons as to why.

- Could have released the Kalman filtering coursework a few days earlier, allowing students more time to complete and less clashes with exams.

- Coursework were extremely challenging however I learnt a huge amount because of this. Machine learning should be a prerequisite for this module though as my MATLAB skills were far under par for this module and this was perhaps the most challenging part (as in, implementing the methods in MATLAB was more challenging than understanding the methods themselves)

- Good and relevant for daily financial life

- It's a clear but sort of dramatic marking scheme.

- Poor feedback. Didn't provide feedback for Lab 0

- The focus of the module was different than explained at the introductory lectures. It required a lot of time to familiarize with complex financial theory, which was not supposed to be the center of the module.

- The reasons for marks on the coursework were often unclear, and the 3/5 / 5/5 marking system felt inappropriate for a 100% coursework module.