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1. Say how long you spent reading the report, how well you feel you understood it, and how confident you are in your judgements.

2. Briefly summarise, in your own words, the main points of the report.
3. Comment on the format and writing of the report, and give it a mark on a scale from 0–10. Questions you should consider in making this judgement include:
   • Is a professional format/style in use (headings, paragraphs, contents list, etc)?
   • Are the pages correctly numbered (roman numerals for front matter, etc.)?
   • Is the writing succinct and clear? Are the spelling and grammar correct?
   • Are figures/diagrams/formulas used as and where appropriate. Are they clear?
   • Are references properly cited? Is there a professionally written bibliography?
   • Can the abstract be read separately from the report?
   • Do the introduction, summary, and conclusion introduce, summarise, and conclude?
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